

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,508	09/30/2003	Nicholas Shiftan	16222U-015510US	8563
7590 12/15/2008 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND CREW LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER, 8TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			PARIKH, HARSHAD R	
SAN FRANCIS	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3687	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

(1) HARSHAD PARIKH.

Application No. Applicant(s) SHIFTAN ET AL. 10/676.508 Examiner Art Unit 3687 HARSHAD PARIKH

(3)Mr. Patrick R. Jewik.

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(2) Matthew S Gart.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 08 December 2008.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)☐ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: 87 and 173.				
Identification of prior art discussed: Pitroda et al. (US # 5,590.038).				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Harshad Parikh explained how the prior art discloses a plurality of electronic receipt policies. He explained the way the main computer (Fig 2: Item 26) stores the policies of various service providers to accomplish the transactions and to generate the receipts.				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				

/HRP/ /Matthew S Gart/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3687 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)